

INCREASING CHANCE OF SUCCESS BASED ON ELECTION-YEAR CYCLE

By James B. Cloonan

Historical returns indicate you can increase the chance of investment success if you follow a strategy in which you purchase stocks during the third year of the election cycle if the market is below its high.

Exactly four years ago, my Matter of Opinion article was titled "A Modest Timing Possibility Based on the Business Cycle." Basically, the article demonstrated the relative strength of the stock market in the third year of the election cycle. It also pointed out the greater likelihood of a market rise when the stock market (S&P 500) was 5% or more below its previous high for the year.

When both of these occur at the same time—the third year of the election cycle and a market at the beginning of the year that is 5% or more below the previous high, as it was four years ago in 1995—the likelihood of a strong market is greatly increased.

Well, as they say, it looks like déjà vu all over again! Of course, the S&P 500 is quite a bit higher than four years ago—at 970 today, compared to 450 in my earlier article. Nonetheless, as of this writing, it looks highly likely that by the beginning of 1999 (the beginning of the third year of the election cycle), the S&P 500 will be 5% or more below its previous high of 1190 (this would put it below 1131, which is 95% of the 1190 high).

EXAMINING THE DATA

Table 1 illustrates the election cycle phenomenon. The 23.3% average return for the third year of the election cycle is over twice the 11.3% return of the S&P 500 for all other years since World War II (the last 50 years). Even for as long as our stock market return records go back (1926), the third year of the election cycle has outperformed other years, 19.7% to 8.1%.

But coupled with the historical performance of the third-year phenomenon, there is a second factor: The probability of negative returns occurring in the short run. The time it takes to regain profitability after any down market decreases when an investor buys at a market level that is below the previous high. For example, years in which the market starts out at 5% or more below a previous high have higher returns than other years, although there are exceptions (1974 and the 1930s).

If these two factors are combined into a purchase rule—buy the third year of the election cycle but only when the market is below its high—then the chance of investment success is increased considerably. For example, in the table January 1 of the years 1995, 1991, 1979, 1975, 1971, 1967, and 1963 represented times when the market was 5% or more below the previous high. The average return for those years is 26.4%.

Given where the market is right now, it seems likely that the S&P 500 will begin next year below its previous high of 1190, although the third-year phenomenon is very strong even without this extra inducement. And because the January effect has been slipping into December, I would take advantage of the third-year election cycle by switching additional funds into common stocks in early December, exactly as I suggested in my column four years ago this month.

By the way, all of my discussion has been about the general market. Individual stocks will reflect these phenomena only to the extent they are corre-

James B. Cloonan is chairman of AAIL.

TABLE 1. RETURNS IN 3RD YEAR OF ELECTION CYCLE FOR

Year	Return (%)	January 1	
		5% or more below	5% or more high
1995	37.6	Yes	
1991	30.5	Yes	
1987	5.2		
1983	22.5		
1979	18.4	Yes	
1975	37.2	Yes	
1971	14.3	Yes	
1967	24.0	Yes	
1963	22.8	Yes	
1959	12.0		
1955	31.6		
1951	24.0		
Average	23.3	26.4	

lated to the market.

INTERMEDIATE SWINGS

I have always talked about a long-term portfolio, and resisted trying to time the market in the short term. I have not been opposed to intermediate market timing based on the business cycle, but have felt it should be done with only a portion of a portfolio. For example, if the long-term average asset allocation suitable for you was 60% equities, then based on economic conditions in the intermediate

term, it could vary from 45% to 75%.

This variation over time is not intended as much to choose market ups and downs, as it is to take advantage of the fact that stocks are less risky at some times. This same approach could be taken with bonds—reduce holdings when interest rates are lower.

In fact, with Treasury yields under 5%, one might conclude that any shifting into stocks might well come from long-term debt. Even intermediate-term adjustments demand a proven and consistent approach and are suitable only for investors who are willing to spend more time examining various economic cycles. ♦

Join Us Next July in Chicago for the AAI National Meeting!

For over 20 years AAI has successfully brought investment education of value to the individual investor—this program is your one-stop-shopping for the kind of education and up-to-date information you need as an individual investor.

At the National Meeting, you will:

- Learn the latest strategies for successful investing straight from the pros.
- Gain an understanding of where the current market's heading.
- Learn to manage your investments effectively and meet your financial goals.

We have put together a program of speakers for YOU—the individual investor—to give you an opportunity to participate in two full days of investment education with three concurrent sessions offered each day, and—*we've made it easy for you because you can choose the programs you want to attend on-site.* If you can't choose and you want to hear them all, you can do that too—cassette tapes are offered for all presentations on-site.

Some of the expert speakers you'll hear:

- Michael Murphy, editor, California Technology Stock Letter, *"Investing in Technology Stocks"*
- Louise Yamada, from Salomon Smith Barney, *"Using Technical Analysis to Invest"*
- Al Frank, editor, The Prudent Speculator, *"Value & Growth Stock Investing"*
- Jay Baker from the American Stock Exchange, *"Ownership in an Index Fund: Including DIAMONDS, SPIDERS & WEBS"*
- Donald Cassidy, research manager, Lipper Analytical Services, Inc., *"Emotional Control: Smart Contrarian Buying ... and Selling"*
- Paul Finnegan, from the Chicago Board Options Exchange, *"Option Strategies for the Investor"*
- Chip Norton, managing editor, S&P Personal Wealth, *"Mutual Fund Strategies"*
- Timothy Miller, senior vice president, INVESCO Funds, *"Investing Successfully in High P/E Stocks"*

Saturday, July 24 and Sunday, July 25, 1999
The Palmer House Hilton Hotel

Register today—Space is Limited!!!

Call AAI Member Services at
800-428-2244 or 312-280-0170



Additional information will be available in the January AAI Journal.